

ASSOCIATOR IDEAL IN (-1, 1) RINGS

By

P. SARADA DEVI^{#1}, MANTHA SRIKANTH^{#2}

[#] Assistant professor, Department of mathematics

Malla Reddy Engineering College (Autonomous)

Hyderabad-500100, Telangana, India.

¹Sarada.chakireddy@gmail.com

²manthasrikanth9@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: Kleinfeld and Smith [1], have proved that Semiprime flexible weakly novikov rings are associative. In this paper we show that a semiprime 2-and 3- divisible (-1,1) ring satisfying weak novikov identity is associative.

KEY WORDS: Semiprime (-1,1) ring, (-1,1) ring, Associator ideal, Weakly novikov rings.

1. Introduction: A (-1,1) ring is a nonassociative ring in which

$$(a, b, b) = 0, \text{ i.e., } (a, b, c) + (a, c, b) = 0 \quad \text{-----(1)}$$

and $(a, b, c) + (b, c, a) + (c, a, b) = 0 \quad \text{-----(2)}$ for all a, b, c in R .

In any nonassociative ring R , the associator (a, b, c) is defined by $(a, b, c) = (ab)c - a(bc)$ and the commutator is defined by $(a, b) = ab - ba$. [3]. A non-associative ring R is said to be weakly novikov if it satisfies the identity

$$(w, a, bc) = b(w, a, c) \quad \text{----- (3)} \quad \text{for all } w, a, b, c \text{ in } R.$$

An alternative ring R is a ring in which $(aa)b = a(ab)$ and $b(aa) = (ba)a$ for all a, b in R . These equations are known as the left and right alternative laws respectively. The ring R is called semi prime, if for any ideal A of R , $A^2 = 0$ implies $A = 0$. [2]

The commutative center U of R is the set of all elements $u \in R$ such that $(u, R) = 0$. Let A be the associator ideal of R . The associator ideal A consists of all finite sums of associators and left multiples of associators. R is called k - divisible if $kx = 0$ implies $x = 0$, $x \in R$ and k is a natural number. Throughout this paper R will denote a 2 – and 3 – divisible (-1, 1) ring satisfying the weak novikov identity.

2. Preliminaries: In any ring the following identity

$$(wa,b,c) - (w,ab,c) + (w,a,bc) = w(a,b,c) + (w,a,b)c \quad \text{-----(4)} \quad \text{holds.}$$

In any (-1, 1) ring R the following identities hold [2] & [4]:

$$(a, b, u) + (b, a, u) = 0 \quad \text{----- (5)}$$

$$(u, a, b) - 2(b, a, u) = 0 \quad \text{----- (6)}$$

and $(c, c, (a, b)) = 0$ ----- (7) for all $u \in U$ and $(a, b, c) \in R$.

By linearizing the right alternative identity $(b, c, c) = 0$, we obtain

$$(wa, b, c) = w(a, b, c) \text{ ----- (8)}$$

Now Comparing (4) and (8) implies $-(w, ab, c) + (w, a, bc) = (w, a, b)c$.

By substituting $w = c$ in this equation, we get that

$$(c, a, b) c = 0. \text{ ----- (9)}$$

A linearization of (9) is $(w, a, b) c = -(c, a, b)w$. ----- (10)

For arbitrary elements a, b, x, y, z in R , we observe that

$$(w^\pi, b, (x^\pi, y, c^\pi)) = (\text{sgn}\pi) (w, b, (x, y, c)), \text{ ----- (11)}$$

where π is any permutation on the set $\{w, x, c\}$.

Also we get $(w, b^\sigma, (x, y^\sigma, c)) = (\text{sgn}\sigma) (w, b, (x, y, c))$, ----- (12)

Where σ is any permutation on the set $\{b, y\}$.

Also, we get $(a^\alpha, b, c^\alpha) (x^\alpha, y, z^\alpha) = (\text{sgn}\alpha) (a, b, c) (x, y, z)$, -----(13)

Where α stands for any permutation on the set $\{a, c, x, z\}$.

By taking $q = (a, y, c) (x, y, z)$ and using (12) we get $2q=0$.

Using 2-divisible, we know that $q = 0$, so that $(a, y, c) (x, y, z) = 0$.

By linearizing this, we get

$$(a, b, c) (x, y, z) = -(a, y, c) (x, b, z). \text{ ----- (14)}$$

3. Main Results:

Lemma 1: A is anticommutative

Proof: From (14) and (13), we have

$$(a, b, c) (x, y, z) = -(x, y, z) (a, b, c) \text{ ----- (15)}$$

In view of (8) it suffices to take all finite sums of associators. This and (15) implies that A is

Anticommutative

Lemma 2: - A is alternative.

Proof: - Let q be an arbitrary element in A and w, a, b, c are arbitrary elements in R.

Using (3), (8) and (10) we get $(c,a,b).qw = -(c,a,b)q.w$

By taking $(c,a,b) = p$, we have $p.qw = -pq.w$ ----- (16)

for all p, q in A and all w in R.

By assuming that r is an element of A. we get

$$(p,q,r) + (q,p,r) = 0.$$

At this point A is both left and right alternative. Hence A is alternative.

Lemma 3: - If M is a 2-divisible anticommutative alternative ring, then $(M^2)(M^2) = 0$.

Proof: - Let w, a, b, c be arbitrary elements in M then we have $(ab)(ca) = a(bc)a = -a^2(bc) = 0$,

from alternative identities and anticommutativity. Linearizing this identity,

we get $(wb)(ca) = -(ab)(cw)$.

Applying this in conjunction with anticommutativity we observe that wb also anticommutes with ca so that $2(wb)(ca) = 0$.

Since M is 2-divisible $(wb)(ca) = 0$, so that $(M^2)(M^2) = 0$.

Using the above lemmas, we prove the following main result.

Theorem 1: - A semiprime 2-divisible $(-1,1)$ weakly novikov ring R is associative.

Proof: - Let p, q be arbitrary elements of A, A is the associator ideal of R, and c is an arbitrary element of R. From (16), we have $pq.c = -p.qc$. Hence A^2 is a right ideal of R.

Also $(c,p,q) = -(p,q,c) - (q,c,p) = -(p,q,c) + (q,p,c)$

$$= -(pq).c + p.qc + qp.c - q.pc$$

is an element of A^2 . Since $cp.q$ is in A^2 , $c.pq = -(c,p,q) + cp.q$ also is in A^2 . Thus, A^2 is an ideal of R.

Then lemmas (1), (2) and (3) imply that the ideal A^2 of R squares to 0. Applying semiprime, $A = 0$ follows and so R must be associative.

REFERENCES

- [1] Kleinfeld, E. and Smith, H.F. “*Semiprime flexible weakly novikov rings are associative*”, *Common in Algebra*, 23(13), (1995) 5079 – 5083.
- [2] Irvin Roy Hentzel. “*The Characterization Of (-1,1) Rings*”, *Journal of Algebra*. 30, No. 1-3, June 1974.
- [3] Hentzel, I.R. “*Nil semisimple (-1, 1) rings*”, *J. Algebra* 22(1972), NO.3, 442 – 450.
- [4] Kleinfeld, E. and Smith, H.F. “*Prime rings in the join of alternative and (-1, 1) rings*”, *contemporary Mathematics*, Vol 131(1992), 613 – 623.